

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/429,939	10/29/1999	MICHEL AUTHIER		6547
7	590 04/09/2002			
JOHN R ROSS III			EXAMINER	
ROSS PATENT LAW OFFICE P O BOX 2138 DEL MAR, CA 92014		PRUNNER, KATHLEEN J		
DEL MAR, CA	A 92014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/429,939

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Kathleen J. Prunner

Art Unit

3751

Authier et al.



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED Mar 12, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) X The period for reply expires <u>THREE</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. 🗆 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The new issues are as follows: (a) the dependency of claim 37, and (b) claim 38 is a duplicate of claim 32. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. 🗆 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. 🗆 The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: NONE Claim(s) objected to: NONE Claim(s) rejected: 13-25 10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 11. Other: See Attachment.

Art Unit: 3751

ADVISORY ACTION

The Request for Reconsideration

1. The request for reconsideration filed March 12, 2002 (Paper No. 14) has been considered but is not persuasive. The first office action final rejection mailed on November 30, 2001 (Paper No. 13) is deemed proper.

Claims 13-25, all the claims in the application, were drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. The first office action final rejection was made in accordance with present Office policy.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kathleen J. Prunner whose telephone number is 703-306-9044. Although the examiner participates in the maxi-flex program, she can usually be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson, can be reached on 703-308-2580. The FAX phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-308-7766.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Kathleen J. Prunner:kjp

April 8, 2002

GREGORY L. HUSON / SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700